IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA FLORENCE DIVISION

Kowyatt Solomon Tiller,)	Civil Action No.: 4:12-cv-01026-RBH
Plaintiff,)	
v.)	ORDER
Carolyn W. Colvin, Acting Commissioner of Social Security,)	
Defendant.)	

Plaintiff Kowyatt Solomon Tiller filed this appeal of the final decision of the Commissioner of the Social Security denying Plaintiff's claim for supplemental security income. This matter is now before the Court for review of the Report and Recommendation of United States Magistrate Judge Thomas E. Rogers, III, made in accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) and Local Civil Rule 73.02(B)(2) for the District of South Carolina. The Magistrate Judge recommends that the Court reverse the Commissioner's decision and remand the matter to the Commissioner under sentence four of 42 U.S.C. § 405(g).

The Magistrate Judge makes only a recommendation to this Court. The recommendation has no presumptive weight. The responsibility to make a final determination remains with this Court. See Mathews v. Weber, 423 U.S. 261, 270-71 (1976). The Court is charged with making a de novo determination of those portions of the Report and Recommendation to which specific objection is made, and the Court may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the recommendation of the Magistrate Judge or recommit the matter with instructions. See 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1).

4:12-cv-01026-RBH Date Filed 07/02/13 Entry Number 28 Page 2 of 2

Neither party has filed objections to the Report and Recommendation. In the absence of

objections to the Report and Recommendation of the Magistrate Judge, this Court is not required to

give any explanation for adopting the recommendation. See Camby v. Davis, 718 F.2d 198, 199

(4th Cir. 1983). The Court reviews only for clear error in the absence of an objection. See Diamond

v. Colonial Life & Accident Ins. Co., 416 F.3d 310 (4th Cir. 2005) (stating that "in the absence of a

timely filed objection, a district court need not conduct de novo review, but instead must 'only

satisfy itself that there is no clear error on the face of the record in order to accept the

recommendation' ") (quoting Fed. R. Civ. P. 72 advisory committee's note).

After a thorough review of the record in this case, the Court finds no clear error.

Accordingly, the Report and Recommendation of the Magistrate Judge is adopted and incorporated

by reference. Therefore, it is

ORDERED that the Commissioner's decision is **REVERSED** and that the matter is

REMANDED to the Commissioner under sentence four of 42 U.S.C. § 405(g).

IT IS SO ORDERED.

s/ R. Bryan Harwell

R. Bryan Harwell

United States District Judge

July 2, 2013

Florence, South Carolina

2